| | Case 2:21-cv-02143-KJM-EFB Docume | nt 16 Filed 03/01/22 Page 1 of 2 |
|----|--|--|
| | Oddo 2.21 ov o21 to Notifi Et B Boodinot | 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | MICHAEL EUZEL JACQUES, | No. 2:21-cv-2143-EFB P |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | |
| 13 | v. | ORDER AND FINDINGS AND |
| 14 | M. SIMPSON, et al., | RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | _ | |
| 17 | Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in an action brought | |
| 18 | under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 20, 2022, the court informed plaintiff that he could proceed | |
| 19 | with potentially cognizable First and Eighth Amendment claims against defendants Simpson, | |
| 20 | Arther, and Jones, or he could file an amended complaint in an effort to also state claims against | |
| 21 | defendant Lynch. ECF No. 7. Plaintiff has elected not to amend his complaint and to proceed | |
| 22 | only with the claims identified by the court. ECF No. 10. | |
| 23 | Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court randomly assign a United States | |
| 24 | District Judge to this case. | |
| 25 | Further, it is RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's claims against defendant Lynch be | |
| 26 | dismissed without prejudice. | |
| 27 | These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge | |
| 28 | assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days | |

| | Case 2:21-cv-02143-KJM-EFB Document 16 Filed 03/01/22 Page 2 of 2 | |
|----------|---|--|
| 1 | after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written | |
| 2 | objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned | |
| 3 | "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections | |
| 4 | within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. <i>Turner v</i> . | |
| 5 | Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). | |
| 6 | Dated: February 28, 2022. | |
| 7 | Almund F. Bil ma | |
| 8 | EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 9 | ONTED STATES MADISTRATE JODGE | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |